Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,869	BACHMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SON DANG	3773	
All Participants:	Status of Application: After Final Amendment		
(1) <u>SON DANG</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Ketan Vakil</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 July 2010	Time: <u>2:45 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Proposed Examiner Amendment			
Claims discussed: 5 and 13			
Prior art documents discussed: US 1,999,683			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/SON DANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner propsed an examiner amendment to incorporate the limitation of "implantable ajustable gastric ring" into the preamble and the functional limitation for the second aperture of "comprises a portion more flexible than the remaining portion of the tab, the flexible portion being situated in the proximity of the aperture, the flexible portion preventing an accidental opening of the closure system after the adjustable gastric ring is disposed around the tubular organ" in order to overcome the prior art. The applicant agreed.